## Remarks

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. Applicants respectfully ask the Examiner to reconsider and withdraw this rejection in view of the amendment to independent claim 1.

Claim 1 is amended to explicitly recite the performance of process steps (g) through (l) which correspond to steps (a) through (f), other than the repeating aspect of step (f), recited in previously presented claim 1.

The recitation of the repeating of steps (a) through (f) was not intended to require the perpetual repetition of the steps. Only, rather, the steps are to be repeated at least once, but they may also be repeated more than once. The amendment to independent claim 1 provides an explicit recitation of each of the repeated process steps. It is, therefore, respectfully suggested that the amendment addresses the Examiner's indefiniteness rejection.

Early allowance of claims 1-10 is hereby respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted, ISAAC C. VAN DEN BORN and JOHANNES G. M. SCHILDER

P. O. Box 2463 Houston, Texas 77252-2463 Their Attorney, Charles W. Stewart

Registration No. 34,023

(713) 241-0360